



LTC16D142

Title: *Student Representation Code of Practice – Spring 2017 update*
Author: Naomi Carter, Lindsay Westgarth, Eve Dewsnap
Date: 16 March 2017
Circulation: Learning and Teaching Committee – 10 May 2017
Agenda: LTC16A006
Version: Draft
Status: Open

Issue

This paper covers the following:

- updated Student Representation Code of Practice – following evaluation and feedback on the Code developed in Spring 2015
- a development overview and action plan to support implementation
- further recommendations relating to student representation

Recommendation

Recipients are invited:

- To endorse and provide feedback on the updated Code of Practice.
- To note the resource implications identified in the development plan.
- To consider and comment on the points highlighted in the discussion.
- To adopt the further recommendations.

Resource Implications

The adoption and implementation of the updated Code of Practice will have some associated resource implications. These mainly relate to:

- Academic, administrative and Students' Union staff time to support and facilitate student representation.
- Student representatives' time as volunteers.

These are discussed in detail in the appended development overview.

Timing of decisions

Approval of the updated Code of Practice today will enable its adoption for September 2017.

Further Information

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

Naomi Carter, Education and Engagement Manager, uea|su N.Carter@uea.ac.uk
Lindsay Westgarth, Student Engagement Co-ordinator, uea|su L.Westgarth@uea.ac.uk
Eve Dewsnap, Senior Faculty Manager (SSF), UEA E.Dewsnap@uea.ac.uk

Background

The Student Representation Code of Practice has been updated two years after its implementation in 2015. This review has been led by the Students' Union in partnership with and support from colleagues from the University. The review was prompted by several factors:

- i. Changes in Students' Union leadership structure which have created the new role of School Convenor
- ii. The election of reps now takes place centrally and online through the Union website (uea.su)
- iii. Recognition of the need for a separate, but linked, Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research students for their Graduate Student Staff Forums
- iv. Recognition of the need for more clarity and consistency in the training and responsibilities of Student Partnership Officers
- v. Evaluation and feedback on the Code of Practice since it was reviewed and implemented in 2015

A draft of the Code has been circulated for comment on both its content and implementation among both uea|su and University staff involved in representation, and at Faculty Learning and Teaching Quality committees.

Discussion

Whilst the current Code of Practice, implemented in 2015, has led to far greater consistency of practice across schools, there are still some discrepancies. It is hoped that the changes to the Code of Practice, outlined in this document, will allow for representation to become more embedded both within individual schools and across the University more generally. For some Schools, the content of the Code represents little change to current practice, whereas for others this represents a significant shift in behaviour. These cultural shifts will not be brought about by implementation of the Code alone, and the appended action plan considers wider activities and changes that need to be considered.

It is also recognised that some Schools may operate highly effective practices not covered within the Code, and provision is included to enable Schools to continue these practices where students agree these are effective. Monitoring and evaluation will enable this to be assessed.

The key changes and points of particular note for LTC are summarised below.

Key change	Page of Code of Practice
The provision of a mandatory online training module for Student Partnership Officers, which should also be offered to support staff who administer SSLCs and available to all staff to complete if they wish. This will be developed in partnership with the Students' Union and CSED.	Page 14
The addition of a separate, but parallel, Code of Practice for PGR Representation and resulting clarification on the roles and responsibilities of Student Partnership Officers for ensuring Representation on the Graduate Student Staff Forum. <i>(Please note that Section 10 has not yet been approved and is subject to approval by PGR Executive).</i>	Page 6 and Section 10 starting on Page 33

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

The inclusion of resource via the uea su website to allow for further support and hosting of the Course Representation System elections.	Pg 12
The addition of a SSLC Evaluation Framework to allow for continued and partnered evaluation of the committees and representation system within schools.	Page 18 and Appendix 9.4 on Page 31
In line with the restructure of uea su's Leadership Structure, the addition and explanation of the role of School Convenors within the representation structure.	Page 10
Addition of the Students' Union's reward scheme for representatives (see Page 12 of the Code)	Page 13
Removal of the original development plan, and addition of an Action Plan and a requirement for FLTQCs and LTC to monitor progress against it.	Page 23

Recommendations

The recommendations below will support the implementation of the changes outlined above. We ask for Learning and Teaching Committee to

- Approve the revised changes to the Student Representation Code of Practice.
- Approve in principle, Appendix 9.4 regarding Graduate Student Staff Forums which will be going to the Postgraduate Executive for Approval
- Approve to agree to work to deliver the Action Plan of this document which shows foundations to improve the system and develop aspects such as training and individual feedback which will develop and strengthen Student Representation at UEA.
- Provide the resources required to deliver key actions such as online training and the blackboard "find my rep" area.
- The resource commitment required to implement the code consistently. This is in terms of staff time and any School-based budgets allocated to supporting student representation activity. Please see the Action Log on pages 28-30 for a full overview of the resources required to fulfil the needs of representation at UEA.
- The Student Representation Working Group has not continued as per the recommendations of the previous Code of Practice. It is therefore felt that this working group should be replaced by **Student Representation as a standing agenda item at all FLTQCs and at LTC.**
- The appended **Action Plan** should be reviewed during at least one FLTQC and LTC per semester to ensure that it, along with the Code of Practice, becomes more of a working document.
- Communication and easy access to information is key to ensure SSLC's and student representation function effectively. The previous Code of Practice referred to a University wide **Student Representation Blackboard** site which, although has been developed, is not being used effectively. The recommendation is therefore to explore alternative ways for this information to be made available – possibly along the lines of the Student Zone in SCI – in consultation with Schools and Faculties and uea|su.
- There are huge discrepancies in awareness of the Code of Practice itself. This document should be **available and promoted to all members of UEA staff**, not just Student Partnership Officers.
- To develop an online training module on Student Representation – compulsory for all SPOs, recommended for administrative staff supporting SSLCs, and available to all staff to complete if they wish.

Attachments

Student Representation Code of Practice 2017/18
 Student Representation Action Plan
 Graduate Student Staff Forum (GSSF) Code of Practice

Student Representation Code of Practice

2017/18

Last updated May 2017

Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Background	6
1.2	Principles	7
2	Communication, responsibilities and expectations	8
3	Student representatives	9
3.1	Election of Student Representatives	11
3.2	The Role of Student Representatives	11
3.3	Academic staff involvement in Student Representation	12
4	Training and support for students and staff	13
5	Staff Student Liaison Committee (SSLC)	14
5.1	Membership	14
5.2	Governance	14
5.3	Frequency and timing	15
5.4	Records and communication	15
6	Action and feedback	16
6.1	Flow of information	16
6.2	Responding to issues	17
7	Monitoring and evaluation	18
7.1	Monitoring and evaluation	18
7.2	Development	18
8	Student Representation Code of Practice Action plan and reporting log	19
8.1	Action plan	19
8.2	Action log	22

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

9 Appendices.....	26
9.1 Indicators of sound practice	26
9.2 Student Partnership Officer role overview	27
9.3 SSLC secretary role overview.....	29
9.4 Faculty Convenor role description.....	30
9.5: Student representation self-evaluation char.....	32
10. Graduate Student Staff Forum (GSSF) guideline Code of Practice for PGR Representation (**NB. Not yet approved - Subject to consultation and approval by PGR Executive**)	33
10.1 Introduction	33
10.2 Other PGR representation structures.....	34
10.3 The Graduate School Student Forum (GSSF) overall membership and general responsibilities	34
10.4 Appointment of Student Representatives	35
10.5 Duties and Responsibilities of Student Representatives	35
10.7 Duties and Responsibilities of the Chair	36
10.8: Duties and responsibilities of staff on the GSSF	37

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

1 Introduction

Students are increasingly involved with not just studying at university, but also feeding into and driving quality assurance and enhancement, improving the academic experience for all. Student representation in learning and teaching is key to improving students' academic experience and outcomes with students making a valuable contribution to this process of enhancement.

The Higher Education Agency notes that the value of students working in partnership with academics to improve their learning and teaching include but are not limited to:

- increased student engagement with learning
- development of knowledge and skills to support employability
- greater sense of belonging and community
- transformed staff experience and thinking about practice
- deeper understanding of contributions to an academic community

This paper intends to provide staff and students with a clear framework on which to build a practical, useful and active student representation system, allowing students and staff to work together to improve their institution.

Overall responsibility for ensuring UEA adheres to the spirit and principles of student representation within this document sits with the Pro-Vice Chancellor Academic.

They will be supported by the Students' Union, with the two Education officers leading student support in academic representation through training and development for Student Representatives.

In the UK Quality Code for Higher Education, the Quality Assurance Agency outline the expectation that 'Higher education providers take deliberate steps to engage all students, individually and collectively, as partners in the assurance and enhancement of their educational experience'. This Code of Practice aligns with the QAA chapter on Student Engagement, the indicators of sound practice are appended in section 8.1.

This Code of Practice aims to provide a framework for collaboration and partnership working between students and the University. It balances consistency of practice, to enable efficient and effective representation, with flexibility to reflect the specific needs and characteristics of a course. The strength of diversity is recognised, and allows Schools to establish arrangements which best suit their needs and the needs of their students, whilst laying a clear framework to ensure consistency and accessibility.

This Code of Practice will be used in conjunction with the Student Representation Handbook developed for students and staff, which outlines how to implement and develop an effective system of student representation in every school. Both the Code of Practice and Student Representation Handbook will be subject to annual review and revision, reflecting the changing nature of student engagement with academic issues at UEA.

1.1 Background

This Code of Practice (COP) has been developed in order to outline students' role in driving academic enhancement at UEA. It replaces the previous COP developed in 2000, and contains amendments to the revised 2015 Code of Practice. This current document has been developed by the University and Students' Union in partnership and through consultation with staff and students engaged in student representation.

This COP applies to undergraduate and taught post graduate students and courses. Given the more specific and unique structure of postgraduate research representation system, section 10 of this document provides a guideline code of practice for Graduate Student Staff Forums (GSSFs). It is important, however, to regard PGR representation with the same importance as UG and PGT representation provisions.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

By following this COP, Schools will ensure that Student Representatives can add value to academic enhancement and the student experience with appropriate staff support. To achieve this, Schools should monitor student representation and engagement within their School and ensure they are developing best practice to allow students to become co-creators of their education. A Staff Student Liaison Committee Evaluation Framework¹ has been created to help each School to evaluate their meetings and how their representatives engage with this as well as the wider student body.

1.2 Principles

The Code of Practice is based on the following principles which have emerged from discussions with students and staff involved in student representation:

Community awareness - all students are aware of their representatives, and the channels of communication they have with the University, as well as the value of taking part in these structures.

Student-centred - all students are trusted as valued decision-makers and meaningful partners in their education.

Transparent and accessible - decisions and processes are clearly explained, meetings are open and Representatives are held accountable by their peers.

Consistent and fair - every student will have access to the same “level” of representation, whatever the delivery method, and students are able to choose who act as their representatives.

Evidenced and accountable - partnership work is recorded and documented so that progress can be monitored and enhanced by all parties.

Appropriately resourced - staff and students are given adequate time, resources and influence to engage meaningfully in partnership work, resourced by both the University and the Students’ Union.

Responsive, adaptable and developing - we regularly look at, adapt and adopt good practice in the sector to ensure we are developing.

Representative of the student body - there is equality of access and efforts should be made to ensure engagement comes from all members of the student body.

¹ This is available at

https://ueanorwich.sharepoint.com/sites/ueas_campaignsandpolicy/_layouts/15/guestaccess.aspx?guestaccessoken=x6GMfp0Xck%2fv7a0%2fJiK6ypzz2t%2bks3LbS3xuHODYhhE%3d&docid=2_01eefbd5fbc25420ab344ba81cff01fe0&rev=1, and as appendix 8.5

2 Communication, responsibilities and expectations

There are an array of stakeholders engaged in facilitating effective student representation. Key stakeholders involved include:

- **Student Representative**– umbrella term for any student engaged in representation e.g. Course Representatives, School Convenors, and Faculty Convenors. They act as a link between students, the University and the Students' Union. They are responsible for collating and delivering student views to SSLCs and other committees.
- **Course Representative** – students who are elected by their peers to sit on Staff Student Liaison Committee's (SSLC) and represent students in their year and course. Course Representatives will also be expected to liaise with their school's academic societies to encourage collaboration.
- **School Convenors** – one student from each school elected to co-chair SSLCs and represent students at the School Board and Students' Union's Education Executive. School convenors will also be expected to liaise with their school's academic societies to encourage collaboration.
- **Faculty Convenors** – students elected to convene representation across the Faculty, supporting School Convenors and Course Representatives, and presenting their voices at Faculty-level committees. A separate document outlining their full responsibilities can be found in Appendix A.
- **Undergraduate/Postgraduate Education Officers** – students elected on a cross-campus ballot to represent all students on academic issues, sitting on University-level committees and leading the student representative scheme.
- **Students' Union staff**– responsible for supporting elections, delivering training and developmental support to Student Representatives.
- **Student Partnership Officer** – member of staff within each school selected by the Head of School and/or Teaching Director to lead on and deliver support for Course Representatives, Undergraduate, Postgraduate Taught and Postgraduate Research, within the School. Will be the key contact for liaison with SU.
- **Director of Learning and Teaching** – responsible for the representation system within each School area, supporting Student Partnership Officer in their area, and carrying information from SSLC's up to School Board and Faculty Learning Teaching and Quality Committee.
- **School local support staff** – responsible for providing administrative support for the functioning of SSLCs and the Student Representatives scheme within their School.
- **Wider student and staff body** – responsible for engaging with the student representation system.

All stakeholders are responsible for developing a positive culture committed to receiving and responding and recognising the value of students' views, and to discussing current learning, teaching and research issues with students. Communication and information sharing is critical with students and staff engaging in evidence-based discussions based on the mutual sharing of information. Key modes of communication include:

- **Blackboard** – The blackboard site for student representation will hold all relevant policies. It will be the site where minutes and agendas of SSLCs are uploaded. It will also feature or signpost students to reports and data about the University, and define terms regularly used across the University.
- **Students' Union Website** – www.uea.su will be the holding point for online elections, information about Student Representatives as well as resources relevant to Student Representatives. Online training for any representatives who miss the in-house training is also available here.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

- **Staff Student Liaison Committee (SSLC)** – Course Representatives will be briefed on developments at UEA and nationally, by the Students’ Union and their School and this will be passed on through the SSLCs. This meeting will also be where Course Representatives can raise good practice as well as issues that students have experience on their academic areas.
- **Students’ Union Education Executive** – School Convenors and Faculty Convenors will be briefed on developments at UEA and nationally here. This is a new group which will form as part of the restructuring of uea|su’s leadership structure. It will include School Convenors, Faculty Convenors and Education Officers with the possibility of attendance from Academic Society Committee members, when appropriate. It is hoped that this new executive will help to provide a bridge between SU Education Officers and the course representation system to allow for a more holistic approach to representation and education projects. For a visual representation of this structure, please see figure 2 on the next page.

Arrangements will exist for the effective representation of the collective student voice at all organisational levels, and these arrangements should provide opportunities for all students to be heard. Figure 1 identifies opportunities for students to be represented and feed into learning and teaching systems and processes within the University. Schools, Faculties and the wider University should ensure that Student Representatives are engaged in these processes and that a breadth of student views are being gathered.




Figure 1: Opportunities for student representation.

Faculties are encouraged to have Student Representation on other Faculty level committees, including Employability Executives as well as involve them in working groups and project teams.

The Learning and Teaching Committee (LTC) and other University bodies involved in academic quality will also ensure that all policy and procedure changes or reviews relating to students’ academic experience are developed and conducted in consultation with students and/or their Student Representatives.

3 Student representatives

The Students’ Union will provide information about how to become a Student Representative and how the scheme works, and work with Schools to distribute these to all students. Figure 2 illustrates the general structure for Student Representatives and how this sits alongside Union and University committees.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June




Figure 2: representation structure for student representatives

Principles for effective student representation are summarised below:

- All students should have the chance to act as a Course Representative.
- Schools should aim to have a minimum of 1 representative per 50 students per course per year group. Where demand is higher, a higher ratio is welcomed.
- Where Schools have a large or particularly diverse student body more representatives may be identified to ensure representation across the cohort, for example a mature student or international student representative.
- Course Representatives will be elected through an election process. The Students' Union will provide the opportunity for online elections.

Students working as partners benefits the whole academic community, ensuring the University can continuously develop. It also creates a sense of academic community in each school which will improve the learning and teaching environment for staff and students. This document is supported by an Action Plan which includes a focus on increasing student participation and raising awareness of the value and importance of student representation amongst staff and students.

Each school will have one School Convenor who will act as a point of contact between reps and Faculty Convenors to collate and represent issues from their school more widely. School Convenors are elected by their peers as part of the wider representation elections, and will also be members of the Students' Union's Education Executive group.

Each Faculty will have up to two Faculty Convenors, both UG and PG, to support the School and Course Representatives in tackling Faculty-wide issues, and sit on Faculty level committees. Faculty Convenors are elected by their peers as part of the wider representation elections, and are also members of the Students' Union's Education Executive group.

Postgraduate taught students are represented within this structure. As noted previously, Section 10 of this document details the parallel system for PGR students.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

3.1 Election of Student Representatives

To make the representative process as fair as possible, Course Reps will now be elected centrally and online through the Students' Union, who have worked with the University to make this new process work this year and ensure it benefits both students and course reps going forward.

Student representatives for the following year will be recruited around Easter time, rather than in September-October. As an example, this means that current first year students wanting to be course reps in their second year will put themselves forward for second year Course Rep positions, and then first year students will vote for who they want to represent them in their second year. This will be the same for current second year students, and current third years who are on a four year program.

Elections will be held in September/October will be September arrivals such as Foundation year, First years and Postgraduate Course Representatives.

School Convener is a new title for the role currently known as the SSLC student co-chair. These will also be elected around Easter time online through the same process. The change in title gives greater formality and recognition to the role and the student undertaking it. The School Convenors will sit on the Education Executive, which is a new group within the SU democracy structure, alongside the Faculty Conveners and SU Education Officers.

The process of electing new representatives will work by having a nominations period (usually around and/or during the Easter vacation period) where students wishing to run as either Student Representatives, School Convenors, or Faculty Convenors will be able to submit their name, a photo (if they wish) and a few words as to why they would like to run for this position. There will then be an online voting period where all students will be able to vote for their representatives. The periods of time for the nominations and voting periods are subject to slight change each year depending on semester dates. Students will only be able to vote for those who sit within their year and course, school or Faculty (eg. A History first year student will be able to vote for the first year course representative, HIS school's School Convener and HUM's Faculty Convenors).

The same process will be repeated in late September / early October for students who were not at UEA for the first round of elections. The nominations and voting period, however, will be shorter to allow for representatives to be elected in time for the training and first SSLCs of the year.

Only representatives elected through this system will be recognised by uea|su and UEA as certified representatives. Promotion of the elections are the responsibility of both uea|su and UEA, both centrally and within Faculties, schools and departments.

3.2 The Role of Student Representatives

Once elected, Course Representatives will be expected to:

- Attend appropriate training courses and meetings organised by the Students' Union.
- Represent their cohort on aspects such as course/degree content, methods of teaching and assessment, workloads, reading lists, resources, teaching space, course delivery methods and feedback.
- Make every effort to ensure that the feedback is substantiated through thorough consultation with their students, and to feed back following SSLCs to ensure students are aware of and understand how they are being represented.
- Make themselves known to fellow students, Course Representatives and members of staff.
- Be available to attend SSLCs and, if necessary, other committees.
- Consult with students about issues, collate these and seek solutions to them.
- Represent the full diversity of their cohort, and act professionally and respectfully in line with the Students' Union Code of Conduct.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

- Informing their School's Student Partnership Officer and the Students' Union Student Engagement Co-Ordinator (Representation) if they no longer wish to be a Representative.

School convenors will have the responsibility of:

- Attending training provided by the Students' Union
- Attending their school's SSLC ('s)
- Attending uea|su's Education Executive
- Working with the school's Student Partnership Officer to set the SSLC agenda
- Co-chairing their school's SSLC meeting
- Representing their school at School Board
- Liaising with academic society committee members within their school to encourage wider communication of school-related issues or events

Faculty Conveners will have the responsibility of:

- Attending training provided by the Students' Union.
- Attending SSLCs of the Schools within their Faculties when commitments allow.
- Attending other Faculty-level meetings when invited and when commitments allow.
- Attending uea|su's Education Executive
- Representing their Faculty at Faculty Learning, Teaching and Quality Committee on academic experience topics.
- Supporting and working with School Convenors and Course Representatives in their Faculty.
- Providing a report of issues that have arisen in their Faculty at the end of each semester, using this as a basis for further research.

3.1.1 Recognition for Student Representatives

Course Representatives are volunteer positions which provide a valuable contribution to the University as well as to an individual's personal development. Student Representatives will be trained and receive ongoing support and development opportunities and can feed their experience into the [UEA Skills Award](#).

The Students' Union will also pilot a reward scheme which will benchmark the level of engagement that the Course Representatives have in their role. This Award scheme will be a tier system based on basic expectations (Bronze), higher expectations (Silver) and those who go above and beyond (Gold). This will be advertised to Course Representatives in their handbooks and through communication during the academic year concluding with a celebration event.

In addition, the Students' Union will, with support from Schools, organise an annual award linking in with the Transforming Education awards where students can nominate their representatives. These awards will raise the profile of Student Representatives, as well as provide an opportunity for further recognition of the importance of their role. As an extra recognition of the work and support of Student Partnership Officers there will be an award specifically with regards to their work. This award will be open to students for nomination.

3.3 Academic staff involvement in Student Representation

Academic staff have a number of responsibilities that link with student representation. The key role within Schools is that of the Student Partnership Officer. They are appointed by the Head of School and/or Director of Learning and Teaching to champion and support Student Representation in their School. Officers co-chair and facilitate the smooth running of the SSLC liaising with the Director of

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June Learning and Teaching and Senior Adviser. A more detailed outline of the role is appended in section 8.1. Other academic roles within the School which support student representation include:

- **Module organisers** are responsible for arranging and convening a debriefing with other teachers on the module and will include students in this process in order to identify potential future enhancements. They are also responsible for responding to student feedback arising from their evaluation of the module.
- **Course directors** are commonly responsible for identifying themselves to students early in the course and ensure, thereafter, that they become a familiar face to students as the person responsible for the management of the course. They are also responsible for encouraging student representation on their programmes on School SSLCs and will attend if asked to do so by the Student Partnership Officer in the School.
- **Heads of School** are responsible of the management of the School, including in matters which may be discussed at the SSLCs. They should aim attend at least one SSLC per year.
- **Senior Advisers** have overall responsibility for matters related to student welfare within a School. They attend the School's SSLC and represent Advisees within the School. They report annually on the effectiveness of the Advising System in their School to the SSLC.
- **Directors of Learning and Teaching** work alongside the Student Partnership Officer and Senior Advisor in ensuring that the SSLC meet and perform their functions effectively in accordance with this Code of Practice. They are also responsible for liaising with/being available for student representatives within the School, to keep them informed of developments (such as new course proposals) and to receive feedback often facilitated by SSLCs. Where appropriate they should facilitate the resolution of their concerns and bring forward to School meetings and Faculty Learning, Teaching and Quality Committee, any school level or faculty level issues and common problems on their behalf. They will also, need to pass this information down the chain back to School Board and SSLCs.

4 Training and support for students and staff

Student Representatives and staff will have access to training and ongoing support to equip them to fulfil their roles in educational enhancement and quality assurance effectively.

Course Representatives and School Convenors are supported by Faculty Convenors as well as Students' Union staff, and the Student Partnership Officer in the School. The Students' Union, in partnership with the University, will provide training and ongoing support for Course Representatives, School Convenors and Faculty Convenors. Student Representatives should be encouraged to meet informally both within and beyond their Schools. The Students' Union will hold formal and informal events for all Representatives throughout the Academic Year to facilitate this.

Student Partnership Officers have a significant responsibility to ensure effective representation and will also receive compulsory training and ongoing support from the University. An online training module will be developed in partnership with the Student's Union and CSED – mandatory for SPOs, recommended for administrative staff supporting SSLCs, and available to all staff to complete if they wish.

The Student's Union will contribute to the continuing development of practical training for staff in partnership with the Centre for Staff Education and Development. Directors of Teaching and Learning will be encouraged to attend in order to understand how they can support the role of the Student Partnership Officer within their area. The University will also provide meet-ups for SSLC staff members each semester to share new ideas, sector developments and good practice. Training will include:

- Introduction to Code of Practice and understanding key roles.
- Understanding principles of effective representation.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

- Sharing good practice and identifying opportunities for improvement.
- Key skills for Student Representatives, e.g. communication and negotiation.
- How the University works and makes decisions.
- Key contacts and information sources.
- Developments in higher education.

5 Staff Student Liaison Committee (SSLC)

Staff Student Liaison Committees, create and maintain an environment within which Course Representatives and staff engage in discussions that aim to bring about demonstrable enhancement of the educational experience. Schools will ensure Student Partnership Officers have access to a small discretionary budget to facilitate the SSLC function and student representation generally within the School.

5.1 Membership

In terms of student members, all Course Representatives and School Convenors should attend. Relevant Faculty Convenors must be invited and should attend all SSLCs where commitments allow. Schools with either a high proportion of postgraduate taught students, or a large number of courses and overall students within the school may consider holding smaller, informal 'feeder' groups to ensure adequate representation, e.g. at course level.

Effective representation is supported where there is an equal balance between staff and students on the committee. Staff members should include those involved directly in the academic and administrative activities of the School including:

- Student Partnership Officer
- Senior Adviser
- Teaching Directors
- Students' Union Education Officer/staff
- Faculty Librarian

Additional School invitees are at the discretion of the chairs, and should reflect current issues. They may include: Head of School, Faculty Associate Dean for Learning and Teaching, Course Directors, School Manager, and the relevant Faculty Manager. In addition, staff representatives from services which support students' academic experience should also be invited and included on the circulation list for committees. For example, the relevant Hub, Careers and Student Support Services. It is worth noting that Postgraduate Research students may sit on SSLCs as staff, if they are undertaking teaching as part of their PhD. There is a separate representation system for PGR students who wish to feed into the representation system as students; please see section 10.

5.2 Governance

School Convenors will have the opportunity to act as co-chair alongside the Student Partnership Officer for the committee. Student chairs will have the opportunity to receive additional training and support from the Students' Union.

Schools will facilitate representatives in having pre-meetings before SSLCs to organise and prioritise issues ahead of the Committee.

The main functions of SSLCs are to:

- Provide a clear channel of communication for students to raise issues for discussion with their Schools, via their Course Representatives.
- Offer an opportunity for Course Representatives to give feedback, both positive and negative, regarding their learning experiences and suggest solutions to these.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

- Discuss matters of relevance to students and staff in the School, including formal documents such as the outcomes from the National Student Survey, as well as, when appropriate, putting on record a summary of informal discussions which may have occurred via other fora (e.g. module discussion boards and email).
- Enable External Examiners' reports to be shared with students.
- Foster the development of close and constructive student/staff relationships.
- Allow (where possible) student contribution to future course developments.
- Report and make recommendations to the School Board.

It is recommended that a core statement of this nature be included in the membership and terms of reference of each SSLC, as well as at the first meeting or each of the SSLC agendas.

5.3 Frequency and timing

SSLCs will take place twice per semester to ensure timely discussion and resolution of issues. Dates will be set in advance by the School, at least on a semester basis to ensure full attendance. Ideally dates will be considered in conjunction with those of the School Board, so that SSLCs meet before and feed back to the School Board in a timely manner. To allow for training of the Course Representatives, the earliest an SSLC should be held is the third week of October.

5.4 Records and communication

Secretariat for the committee will always be provided by a member of the School's local support team. This ensures a professional level of support and a degree of continuity. Local support staff who undertake this role report satisfaction from participating and engaging with students more directly. An outline of the tasks this may involve is appended in section 8.3.

Committee papers will include an agenda, minutes which record actions, and papers relating to specific agenda points. An action log will also be held for the academic year and be reviewed in the first meeting of the following year. Templates for committee papers will be available on the student representation blackboard site.

Materials relating to the functioning of the committee, e.g. agenda, minutes and papers will be circulated at least a week in advance by email to all members of the committee to ensure those unable to attend are fully briefed. They will also be saved to the student representation Blackboard site to ensure they are available to all staff and students. Apologies and attendance will be recorded.

Course Representatives will contact all students in their School to ensure awareness of committee schedules and ask for input. Schools will support representatives by providing a route through which emails can be sent to all students for this purpose. Representatives will also be encouraged to utilise one or more social media channels to ensure a regular information flow with their fellow students.

It is important that students are aware of and reminded of their representatives throughout the year. Schools will employ initiatives to raise the profile of Student Representatives such as School notice boards for representatives, photo maps of representatives and allowing representatives' time at the start of lectures to convey developments throughout the semester. Clear communication and signposting will also benefit joint honours students and enable them to approach representatives.

All committee papers should be stored on an area of blackboard that all students in that School have access to. This area should also be where they can find information about who their rep is.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

6 Action and feedback

A key aspect of effective student representation is ensuring that issues raised by students are both considered at the appropriate committee/level and that discussions and outcomes are clearly fed back. This feedback loop not only helps to ensure clear communication of concerns, but also demonstrates that student's views are valued and listened to, thus encouraging further engagement. All Course Representatives will be provided with training on communication methods to use with their peers in order to ensure that a loop is created.


6.1 Flow of information

All feedback received by Student Representatives and by the School through other routes should be fed into the SSLC in the first instance. Student representatives can raise any issues which impact on their learning experience. If these are not resolvable in the SSLC or within the structures of the academic student representation system, the Student Partnership Officer may broker solutions through discussions with relevant colleagues or direct these issues to the appropriate forum, with the support of the School Director of Learning and Teaching. Unresolved issues should be reported by Course Representatives to the School or Faculty Convenor. The Students' Union will provide time to identify wider unresolved or common issues in the Education Executive Committee within the uea|su structure.

In order to monitor issues raised and associated actions SSLCs will keep an annual action log. This should be dated and identifies the issue and associated actions, the lead for following up the action and whether the issue has been resolved. The action log is revisited at each SSLC for a progress update and can form the basis for report to the School Board or other committees. Completed/resolved issues should be kept listed to demonstrate progress over the course of the year.

Unresolved academic matters should be raised with the School Teaching Committee and/or School Board. Where issues cannot be resolved at School level and are a Faculty or University wide issue they should be taken by the School Director of Learning and Teaching to the Faculty Learning, Teaching and Quality committee for a Faculty wide resolution. Where necessary these may then be passed to the Learning and Teaching Committee (LTC) for further discussion and resolution. Figure 3 demonstrates how feedback can be escalated in this manner. A record of where the issue is currently held should be noted in the action log of the SSLC, so Course Representatives have access to information to spread this to the wider student body.

Unresolved matters will be raised by the Student Partnership Officer with the relevant Students' Union Education Officer. The Education Officer will take the matter to the Student Affairs Group and/or Student Experience Committee, who will then feedback to the Student Partnership Officer. The Students' Union's Education Executive will also be a point of the collation of feedback.



6.2 Responding to issues

As noted above, a key feature of effective student representation is ensuring that issues raised are responded to, even when the response is a clear rationale as to why further action is not possible.

Where an issue has been reported by SSLCs, higher committees will ensure the SSLC receive a response. It is the responsibility of the chair of the higher committees discussing the issue to ensure clear response on the point back to the SSLC chairs. This may be via the Director of Learning and Teaching or, Associate Dean (LTQ) or directly. The response may be in terms of resolution, or to notify the SSLC that the issue has been escalated. The SSLC chairs will circulate this information, either via email or at the next SSLC to members. Course Representatives are responsible for feeding information back down to their constituents.

On a wider scale, and to demonstrate the value of student representation, successful examples of student representation resulting in positive improvements for UEA will be shared across the University as part of a joint UEA/Students' Union 'We Said, We Did' campaign. Staff and Course Representatives should jointly disseminate and recognise the enhancements made to the student educational experience, and the efforts of students in achieving these successes. Additionally, the Students' Union will share successes that have occurred due to Student Representation, when made aware, to the wider student membership via news articles, blogs or newsletters as well as to the wider Course Representative network via newsletters or Education Forum.

7 Monitoring and evaluation

The effectiveness of student engagement should be monitored and reviewed at least annually, using predefined key performance indicators, and policies and processes enhanced where required as found in the Staff Student Liaison Committee Evaluation Framework (Appendix 8.4). Responsibility for monitoring the effective implementation of this Code of Practice sits with the University's Learning and Teaching Committee.

7.1 Monitoring and evaluation

Existing institution-wide student surveys and focus groups will form the basis for establishing key performance indicators in relation to student representation. The University will look to demonstrate that we take deliberate steps to engage all students, individually and collectively, as partners in the assurance and enhancement of their educational experience. Evaluation will consider whether the University can demonstrate this expectation has been met through providing the opportunity, environment and support for effective student engagement. The QAA indicators of good practice (appended in section 8.1) and the expectations outlined in this Code of Practice will be used as a framework for assessment. SSLCs will also undertake annual self-evaluation supported by the Students' Union and BIU to assess effectiveness of the committee and student representation within their School, using the Staff Student Liaison Committee Evaluation Framework (Appendix 8.4). Areas reviewed should include both outputs and outcomes such as:

- Frequency of SSLC meetings and attendance of members at meetings
- Review of action logs and examples of issues raised and acted upon
- Awareness about, and effectiveness of, Student Representatives system
- Use of the Student representation blackboard site
- Communication channels and information flow

From these the Students' Union will create an annual report tracking SSLCs and student representation across the institution and highlighting good practice and areas for improvement.

7.2 Development

This Code of Practice will be revised annually and reported to SEC and LTC. Revisions will reflect both good practice developments within UEA and nationally as well as findings from monitoring and evaluation.

8 Student Representation Code of Practice Action plan and reporting log

This section of the document outlines an action plan and reporting log for these actions. Each of the actions below has been assigned an owner and completion date in the table on p. 28-30 **which** should be used as a reporting log. This table should be reviewed at least once a semester by each Faculty's LTQC and progress tracked.

8.1 Action plan

- **Direct funding for SSLCs**

School discretionary budgets- budgets will need to be in place to support direct costs associated with meetings such as the printing of papers and provide refreshments.

- **Student Representation handbook**

A handbook "user guide" will be produced by the Students' Union and distributed to key members of staff within the institution including the Student Partnership Officer and the SSLC secretary. It is intended to put into simple terms the responsibility and key participants expected from all of those involved in implementing the new Code. Similarly, a handbook for the student representatives will be provided at their training, to help with key information, opportunities and reward information.

- **Individual feedback**

A recurring theme from all the focus groups and highlighted in the consultation was the opportunity for students to feed back as individuals to the University on issues that affected their student experience. Whilst a system of individual feedback is useful in bringing about issues in "real time" it must not be seen as a replacement for the collective voice of students provided by the representative system. Whilst the channel through which students might undertake this is unclear, e.g. via email or online, it would require resource both to set up a system as well as manage and filter feedback. It is imperative that information collected in this way is shared with representatives to allow for a well-rounded view to be developed.

- **Central promotion of representation**

Awareness of student representation is key, and this will involve an ongoing campaign incorporating web and social media as well as internal communications to raise awareness and support a cultural change in attitude. This includes the introduction of a 'You said, We did' branding of responses and enhanced training for staff and students. This should sit alongside activity in Schools with a commitment from the Executive Team to support all aspects of the system and those within it. The presence of the Executive Team at key social events, and a clear commitment in their communication with staff and students at UEA, will have an impact on the status and reputation of student representation.

- **SSLC promotion in Schools**

A fundamental problem, as identified in Union surveys and BIU-led focus groups, is the lack of knowledge of SSLCs and student representation at a School level amongst students.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

Schools will need to:

- Display representative pictures and contact details in a public place within the school
- Embed information about representation in the induction period
- Generate a culture of student representation by referring students to their representatives.

- **Student time**

There will be occasions where meetings could clash with other student commitments which will need to be considered. This could be something which could be as simple as granting leave from placements or teaching periods. Another area for consideration is whether Schools could cover travel expenses for students travelling to enable them to attend.

- **Local support staff time**

There will be a staff time cost for SSLC secretarial support. This is estimated to be 6 days per School assuming four SSLCs per year. This includes 33 hours per school to facilitate, administer and support meetings and 12 additional hours to work with Representatives and the Student Partnership Officer to facilitate change. Given variable practice, which will no longer comply with the updated code, some Schools will have to facilitate additional activity, and there may be an opportunity costs associated with this.

- **Academic staff time**

Overall the increased frequency of meetings will require more academic time committed by the School. In addition, specific roles will require time allocated in their workload. The amount of time will be related to the role the academic staff member holds in relation to the system. These roles are laid out below:

- Student Partnership Officer
- School Director of Learning and Teaching
- Senior Advisor

Directors of Learning and Teaching and Senior Advisers already have elements incorporated into their job description and therefore workload. However, for the Student Partnership Officer these roles are now more clearly articulated.

It is anticipated that this role may be on a par with the Course Director role in terms of time, recognising that both roles will vary to reflect the complexity and size of cohort. As with administrative staff time there may be there may be unidentified opportunity costs associated with the activity.

- **Training and support for staff and students**

As noted above, increased awareness and a change in culture will need to be supported by enhanced training and development activities.

- **Centre for Staff education and Development**

With Student Representation at UEA becoming more cohesive, staff involved need to ensure they are up to date with current developments and trained on best practice, as well as building relationships across schools. The University will provide two opportunities per year for SPOs and other staff engaged in Student Representation activity to come together to share good practice, challenges and solutions. An online training module will be developed

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June in partnership with uea|su and CSED – mandatory for SPOs, recommended for administrative staff supporting SSLCs and available to all staff to complete if they wish.

- o **Students Union**

The Students' Union will work with CSED to develop useful training and networking events for all staff involved in Student Representation. In addition, students will need support and training to quickly adapt to how the University works, and to develop their own skills and act as effective representatives. The Students' Union will develop on-going training for Representatives throughout the year.

- **Monitoring and evaluation: BIU**

Schools will be asked to self-evaluate themselves annually against the Code of Practice using the self-evaluation tool (**see appendix B**), and the Students' Union will work with the BIU to create a bi-annual report on the state of Representation at UEA. New student focus groups and the student experience survey will have questions relating to student representation built into them. However, repeats of the analysis carried out to support the review would require the costs of incentives and catering to be covered.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

8.2 Action log

Action	Responsibility	Explanation	Latest completion date	Resource/s	Resource type	Update
School discretionary budgets	Student Partnership Officer	SPO should seek budgetary allowances from within school	Budget should be confirmed by first SSLC	Finances allocated by school	School finance	
Student Representation handbook	Students' Union	The SU's Education and Engagement department will produce separate handbooks for students and staff	To be ready for the first training session	Staff time in the Education and Engagement department of the SU. SU budget to be put aside for printing costs	SU staff time and finance	
Individual feedback	SU and UEA in partnership	In the future the SU will look to provide a proposal for an online system with the purpose of individual feedback.	Development 2018	SU staff time to research system and create proposal. Funding from both UEA and the SU by June 2018 if the system is deemed appropriate through research conducted.	SU and UEA staff time and finance	
Central promotion of representation	SU and UEA in partnership	Clearer communication platforms must be established and a course rep 'brand' created	'Brand' development ready for September 2017	SU staff time in creating logo and branding. UEA staff knowledge / time in ensuring logo is on <i>all</i> representation documents including school-based.	SU staff time and UEA staff time	
Course Representation Elections	SU and UEA in partnership	The Students' Union to provide a platform for online elections working with SPOs to decide number of positions and whether teaching is common enough across multiple courses to share representatives. Elections will happen around easter for returning students and in September/October for incoming students. Both	Continuous in Spring term and Autumn term.	SU Staff time in creation of elections and approving candidates to then run vote as well as general promotion. UEA staff time to promote within specific schools.	SU and UEA staff time.	

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

Action	Responsibility	Explanation	Latest completion date	Resource/s	Resource type	Update
		partners will be responsible for promotion of elections.				
SSLC promotion in Schools	School SPO and support staff	Schools must undertake responsibility to promote the SSLC meetings and outcomes, as well as information on reps, in physical form (noticeboard etc.) and online	All schools should have a physical representation 'space' (noticeboard) by September 2017	Space allocated for a communication board in each school. UEA administrative staff time to create / update a clear section of Blackboard for representation notifications	Space and some UEA admin staff time	
Student time	SPO	SPOs must be aware of students' other commitments and be available to provide proof of attendance where necessary	With immediate effect	No tangible resources aside from SPO understanding		
Local support staff time	SPOs and school-based support staff	SPOs must liaise with the school's support staff to ensure there is someone to provide administrative support for SSLCs	With immediate effect	Administrative support staff time allocated to representation work.	Administrative support staff time	
Academic staff time	SPOs, Teaching directors and Advisors	All those named (left) must liaise with their own managers and support staff to ensure that they are able to plan their work to allow for the support of SSLCs	With immediate effect	UEA should consider spending some time reviewing the job descriptions of teaching directors and advisors to ensure that the representation system is clear to these members of staff. SPO should have clear time mapped out in their workload for representation and SSLC work.	UEA staff time (management of SPOs)	

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

Action	Responsibility	Explanation	Latest completion date	Resource/s	Resource type	Update
Blackboard area for Student Representation information	UEA administrative staff	Existing Blackboard site is not well-used by staff or students. Consider developing 'Student Zone' area as used in SCI for other Faculties.	Development of proposal Summer 2017 for implementation in 2017/18	Staff time for UEA administrative staff within each school. Those involved in the SCI 'Student Zone' creation should be consulted and, where workload allows, involved in the process.	UEA admin staff time with SPOs	
Training	CSED, SPOs, support staff, Students' Union	<p>Arrange for SPOs and other staff involved in student representation to gather twice a year to network, share good practice, and receive updates.</p> <p>To develop an online training module – mandatory for SPOs, recommended for administrative staff supporting SSLCs, and available to all staff to complete if they wish.</p>	<p>First networking event to be held in June 2017.</p> <p>Online training module to be developed Summer 2017 for implementation 2017/18.</p>	<p>The SU will coordinate the networking event and will cover the cost of resources etc. for this.</p> <p>Staff time of SU staff will be required for developing the online module. CSED staff time will be required for the planning and delivery of the training. UEA staff time (SPOs and administrative staff) will be required for the attendance of training.</p>	UEA finance, CSED staff time, UEA staff time, SU staff time	
Students' Union Training	Students' Union Officers and Education and Engagement Department	The Students' Union will continue to provide mandatory training for reps once elections have taken place and before the first SSLCs of the year. Online training will be provided in late semester 1 for any students unable to make in-house training	Training content and timetable to be reviewed over summer with SPOs consulted	Considerable SU staff time will be required for the planning, implementation and delivery of the training. The SU currently fund the resources but if these are to improve or develop then UEA will need to consider financial support.	SU staff time, SU finance, potential UEA finance	

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

Action	Responsibility	Explanation	Latest completion date	Resource/s	Resource type	Update
Monitoring and evaluation	All SPOs, SU, BIU	All SSLCs should do a self-evaluation exercise using the chart in Appendix B once a semester (it would be recommended that this is at the last SSLC of each semester). The Students' Union will informally review representation against the CoP each year, and produce a bi-annual report with BIU	Self-evaluations should take place in the last meeting of semester 2 2017	SU staff time in working with SSLCs to facilitate the discussion. SU Education and Engagement team will provide staff hours to create the yearly report / overview. SPO staff time required for the facilitation of the evaluation in SSLCs.	SU staff time, SPO staff time	

9 Appendices

9.1 Indicators of sound practice

Indicator
Indicator 1: Higher education providers, in partnership with their student body, define and promote the range of opportunities for any student to engage in educational enhancement and quality assurance.
Indicator 2: Higher education providers create and maintain an environment within which students and staff engage in discussions that aim to bring about demonstrable enhancement of the educational experience.
Indicator 3: Arrangements exist for the effective representation of the collective student voice at all organisational levels, and these arrangements provide opportunities for all students to be heard.
Indicator 4: Higher education providers ensure that student representatives and staff have access to training and ongoing support to equip them to fulfil their roles in educational enhancement and quality assurance effectively.
Indicator 5: Students and staff engage in evidence-based discussions based on the mutual sharing of information.
Indicator 6: Staff and students to disseminate and jointly recognise the enhancements made to the student educational experience, and the efforts of students in achieving these successes.
Indicator 7: The effectiveness of student engagement is monitored and reviewed at least annually, using pre-defined key performance indicators, and policies and processes enhanced where required.

Table 1 QAA indicators of sound practice mapped against this student representation Code of Practice.

9.2 Student Partnership Officer role overview

The Student Partnership Officer should provide School-level leadership to promote, enable and facilitate student representation via partnership between students and staff. The role will be delegated by the Head of School and/or School Director of Learning and Teaching, with the Head of School maintaining overall responsibility for student representation and partnership. On appointment, they will contact the Students' Union Student Engagement Co-ordinator (Representation).

They will act as a key point-of-contact for staff/student liaison, and maintain a high visibility within Schools (e.g. advertising their office hours, maintaining a student feedback notice board, holding regular surgeries, etc.).

They will also coordinate, with the PGR Director of Research for each Faculty, recruitment of PGR representatives. Further explanation of this can be found in section 10, which outlines a code of practice for Graduate representation on Graduate Student Staff Forums (GSSF). They will communicate regularly with the School's Student Representatives, working closely with the School's Teaching Director and Senior Adviser to ensure the student body is kept up-to-date with issues arising in the School which may impact academic provision and student experience.

Additionally, they are responsible for communicating SSLC discussions and relevant issues raised by Student Representatives to appropriate members of academic and support staff, and feedback any responses/actions made or taken by the School as a result.

Key responsibilities:

- Co-chair SSLCs alongside the School Convenor and ensure that any chair's action is agreed by both chairs.
- Work in partnership with the School Convenor, Course Representatives and Local Support staff to ensure the requirements of the SSLC are met (e.g. Secretary appointed, rooms booked, relevant staff invited, etc.).
- Be a named and known co-contact with the student chair for SSLC members and directly for the wider student body for comments about their teaching and learning experience.
- Broker solutions between SSLC meetings that might involve discussions with module organizers, referrals to Course Directors, Director of Learning and Teaching, Head of School, Local Support, other Schools, and other University departments (e.g. the Library, Careers Services, LTS, etc.).
- Ensure that Course Representatives and School Convenors are made aware of, and invited to, all School meetings pertaining to teaching provision and/or student experience (e.g. Teaching Committees, School Boards, etc.).
- Receive module evaluation data from LTS and support the SSLC to organize a working group comprised of the Director of Learning and Teaching, Course Directors and Course Representatives/School Convenor (chaired by an elected Student Representative and able to invite input from Module Convenors) to scrutinize this (e.g. compare this year's feedback with previous years, identify areas which require development or issues which should be addressed by the School). Further, to ensure that the outcomes of scrutiny are referred to the School's Teaching Committee, or equivalent, via the Director of Learning and Teaching and that these outcomes are published so they are accessible to all students.
- Ensure that SSLC meeting documents are available to all students via a student representation Blackboard or equivalent open channel of communication (e.g. School-wide email), and advertise their availability prominently.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

- Ensure that SSLC meeting documents are available to all staff via a suitable channel of communication (e.g. School-wide email), and ensure these receive appropriate attention (e.g. at Teaching Executive or equivalent, other staff meetings, etc.).
- Take part in university wide initiatives, training or reviews of student representation and partnership.

Time-sensitive responsibilities across the year:

- Communicate when needed with the Faculty Convenors and School Convenors.
- In partnership with the Student's Union, initiate the election of first year Course Representatives and advertise any vacancies for other year groups that remain after election at the end of the previous academic year.
- Convene soon after the beginning of the academic year, a welcome social event for Representatives including Representatives on the School's Teaching Committee, School Board or equivalent groups and including the SSLC secretary.
- Issue calls for agenda items two weeks before the first SSLC meeting of the year, draft a formal UEA meeting agenda for this and ensure that it is circulated one week before the meeting and copied to the Faculty Convenor, the appropriate Students' Union Education Officer, Students' Union Student Engagement Co-ordinator (Representation), UEA Library, Head of School and any other relevant parties (e.g. Careers Service, LTS, etc.).
- Convene and chair the first meeting.
- Convene subsequent meetings and co-chair meetings as agreed between chairs.
- Support the student co-chair in drafting subsequent agendas and ensure approval by both chairs before circulation.
- Check and approve meeting minutes in partnership with the student co-chair.
- Convene student working groups or review panels for specific issues that might range from issues with particular modules to responding to UEA-level calls for consultation e.g. on changes in regulations.
- Support working groups or student panels in feeding back their outcomes at School or wider University level.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

9.3 SSLC secretary role overview

The main focus of the SSLC secretary is to support the co-chairs in ensuring the smooth functioning of the SSLC. In addition, they may be asked to support the Student Partnership Officer with the Schools Student Representative scheme.

Key responsibilities will vary by School but are likely to include the following:

SSLC secretarial support

- Liaise with the co-chairs to plan meetings.
- Identify with the co-chairs standing items that may be included on a yearly/semester basis such as review of the induction process, review of module evaluations and review of the NSS results in areas that require improvement.
- Make arrangements for meetings (room bookings, calendar invites, refreshments etc.) □
Receive agenda items from committee members.
- Circulate agendas and papers by email to all members approximately one week before the meeting date.
- Where requested provide hard copies of meeting papers and minutes for students.
- Take minutes to record decisions and action points, and agree the minutes with the chairs.
- Maintain an action log for the SSLC, reminding members several weeks before a meeting they will need to feedback on any outstanding action points.
- Upload all SSLC papers to the Student Representation Blackboard site.

Additional support for the Student Partnership Officer/Student Representative Scheme

- Support the Student Partnership Officer to recruit Student Representatives for the School.
- Send group emails to students within the School on behalf of the Student Representatives.
- Help organize and attend the School welcome meeting for Student Representatives.
- Upload reports and documents to the Student Representation Blackboard site where requested.

9.4 Faculty Convenor role description


Faculty Convenors are students who are elected to represent students at a Faculty level. This briefing document outlines information on how they are recruited, their responsibilities, and their structure within wider representation.

9.4.1 Recruitment

Faculty convenors are recruited by the Students' Union as part of the wider elections for course reps, school reps and society and sports club committee members. The post of convenor is open to any student; however, recruitment is targeted to those who are course representatives as it is a natural step up with more responsibility within a similar remit.

Recruitment takes place via elections as part of the centralised uea|su representative elections. Students wanting to nominate themselves for convenor must provide a short statement about why they want to go for the role. Students will be able to vote for the convenor/s of their own Faculty.

9.4.2 Faculty Convenor place within representation structure



9.4.3 Responsibilities

The main responsibilities of Faculty convenors is outlined below:

1. To attend their Faculty's Learning and Teaching Quality Committee (FLTQC) meeting and, with Union officers, relay Faculty-wide issues that they are aware of.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

2. To liaise with School Convenors within their Faculty to collect Faculty-wide issues to FLTQC and/or relevant staff within the faculty.
3. To attend the Union's Education Forums (open to all course representatives and school convenors and led by the Union's Education Officers)
4. With support from SU staff and/or officers, produce a semesterly report reviewing the previous semester's work and outlining recommendations going forward.
5. Be active and available to course representatives and school convenors, making sure that they are known and contactable within their Faculty
6. Be proactively involved with Education Officers in the development of solutions and ideas to create change based on student feedback

4.9.4 Recognition

Because Convenors work at a Faculty level with staff and are expected to attend more meetings than course representatives or school convenors, there is a bursary of £200 a semester available on completion of their report and provided they have attended at least 70% of meetings.

Convenors will also have priority involvement in events such as the Transforming Education Awards (where students nominate staff for a variety of awards), and will have the opportunity to help present or be involved backstage on the evening.

9.5: Student representation self-evaluation chart

	Ownership	Feedback Loop	Recognising Talent	Effective Change	Contact & Awareness	Discussion
Stage One	No clear structure as to who is responsible for student representation and engagement. Roles and structure of meetings are not defined creating confusion	Reps receive the agenda for their SSLC either on the day or within 2 days of the meeting. The agenda isn't put on blackboard regularly and minutes are not taken. Little knowledge of what is discussed across the faculty or school. Faculty Convenors not appointed. Students do not feel confident to participate in meetings. SSLCs occur three times or less a year.	Student reps or staff members are not recognised in any way for their work	No or little action from SSLC with sparse communication between reps, staff members, the university and the student union. Confusion about representative system and student engagement	The student reps and staff members have not attended training. Student reps only talk from their experience and little action is taken from each SSLC. Students are not aware who their student reps or Student Partnership officer is. Staff members or reps do not turn up	The only thing that is discussed is the problems students have with their course and school with limited action to improve these problems
Stage Two	The University or the Students Union has control of student representation, with clear guidelines however there is limited interaction between the two	Students are expected to talk and feedback to students but are given no help and/or little time to do so. Agendas are given in advance and minutes are written, however not every meeting or prompt in completion. SSLCs occur twice a semester.	Student reps and staff members are thanked sporadically by either their school, faculty or the Student's Union	Representation isn't very well organised with confusion over who attends meeting, lack of contact details and action points are not followed through. However meetings take place and issues are discussed. Faculty Convenors help to solve school issues	Student reps have trouble contacting their cohort, with some help from the Student Union. Students are aware of their rep and student partnership officer, and how to contact them	Problems with their course and school again discussed plus anything that has been assigned for the group to be asked about by a higher up committee
Stage Three	The ownership, running and responsibility is shared however there is confusion over the roles, responsibilities and actions of staff and students	Student reps and staff feedback and discuss upcoming items to their cohorts, with help from either the University or the Student Union. They feel confident to do so. Student reps attend all school level meetings and faculty convenors attend faculty level meetings. Agendas are sent out at least 10 days before the SSLC and minutes are taken and uploaded to Blackboard within two weeks of the meeting	The Student Union recognises student reps and staff members through awards or certificates on a university wide level each year	Student engagement is taking place, with productive meetings as both staff and students are invested in bring about positive change. Faculty Convenors help to solve faculty issues	Student reps regularly contact students to collect their views. Student Partnership officers regularly contact staff members to collect information and views. Both receive help from either the Student's union or the University	All of the above plus feedback on some issues- good, bad and ideas - that students and staff have about their programme and school which are active discussions
Stage Four	Student engagement is shared between the Student Union and the University, with continued funding, resources and help. However, only at Senior levels is the system fully organised and implemented.	Student reps discuss issues and ideas with faculty reps and student union. Staff members discuss issues and ideas with staff. These are then placed on the agenda to be actively discussed by all. Student Reps sit on all levels of university meetings	Student reps work is regularly featured and celebrated in Concrete or the Student Union's website.	The engagement system is regularly reviewed. Both student reps and staff, of all levels, are encouraged by both the university and the student union to bring about effective change.	Student reps regularly contact their cohorts through a variety of different methods (email, Facebook, shout outs etc). SSLCs are attended by heads of school and directors of teaching.	All of the above plus feedback from the staff and students- both good, bad and ideas- about the programme organised by sections (ie organisation and management, teaching and assessment etc) as well as a start of active discussions of projects and ideas to improve the student's experience
Stage Five	The representative system is an equal partnership between the Student Union and the University, where individuals are clear about their role, responsibilities and where to get help. All members of the SSLC are also clear on the wider representation structure.	Student reps are supported and helped to feedback to students by different methods. Student reps are held to account with meetings with students and elections. Student reps and staff members are respected and valued within their school, faculty and university; meaning their opinion is willingly sort out and ideas are taken forward into completion	The work of student reps and staff are recognised across the school, faculty and the university by the Student Union and the University	The Student Union can demonstrate the impact that the student rep system has on the the effective change and improvement of the student's experience. Effective change has occurred from student and staff actions for example, starting new and successful projects	Student reps use research or NSS data as evidence for ideas at meetings, as well as focus groups and surveys showcasing student viewpoints to propose new ideas and action.	Projects and initiatives are set up and run successfully that are about quality enhancement (ie what learning resources would help). The committee supervises these enhancement projects that are worked on between academics and students/reps outside of the meeting. It commissions them and receives reports on them

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

10. Guidance for the operation of Graduate School Student Forums (GSSF) and PGR Representation (NB. Not yet approved - Subject to consultation and approval by PGR Executive**)**

10.1 Introduction

This document outlines guidance for the operation of UEA's Graduate School Student Forums (GSSFs). Each Faculty has their own GSSF comprising of Postgraduate Research students and relevant Faculty staff members (full membership is highlighted in section 10.4).

As highlighted on page 1 of this Code of Practice, UEA Students' Union (uea|su) recognises that:

"student representation in learning and teaching is key to improving students' academic experience and outcomes with students making a valuable contribution to this process of enhancement".

It is vital that *all* students, regardless of their level of study, have the opportunity to be involved in representation whilst at UEA, thus allowing them to have active input into their student experience. The Student Representation Code of Practice, however, does not acknowledge the very specific needs of Postgraduate Research students so far as representation is concerned.

This guideline GSSF Code of Practice thus outlines the **remit and membership** of the GSSF and the responsibilities of both students and staff who are members.

uea|su and UEA are aware that there existing representation structures for students within the Norwich Business Institute (NBI) and University Campus Suffolk research students. These groups are welcome to use this document as guidance if required.

NEW: 10.2 Reporting of Postgraduate Research representation to Graduate School Executive

'PGR representation and GSSFs' will come as an agenda item under Section B of each Graduate School Executive (GSE) meeting to allow for regular updates. Each Faculty should submit a short report with an update of the t.oak@uea.ac.ukwork of the GSSFs within their Faculty as part of this agenda item. If a more in-depth update is needed, the agenda item should be moved for verbal discussion in Section A.

This document will be reviewed formally every two years at PGR Executive with revisions made in consultation with uea|su's Postgraduate Education Officer.

It is also worth noting the relationship between GSSF and GSE. Agenda items can, and should, go both ways between the two groups to allow for full and varied consultation.

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

For instance, any issues that can't be resolved at GSSF should be passed up to GSE. Similarly, issues that the GSE would like further student consultation on should be raised at GSSF.

10.3 Other PGR representation structures

It is important to acknowledge that schools and faculties may already have their own representation structures in place, such as Student Staff Liaison Committees (SSLC)², and that these should continue alongside and feed into, rather than be replaced by, the GSSF.

PGR reps on SSLCs could be there in one of two different capacities:

1. As a student representative; feeding back to staff present on their experience as a research student
or
2. As a staff representative in their capacity as an Associate or PhD tutor, to receive feedback from course representatives.

When recruiting for representatives on the SSLC, it is the responsibility of the schools' Student Partnership Officer³ to provide complete clarity on the positions available to reps.

In contrast to an SSLC, research students would only ever sit on a GSSF in their capacity as a student rather than as an associate tutor.

10.4 GSSF overall membership and general responsibilities

- i. The Forum-is composed of:
 - A minimum of 1 student per school who is a named GSSF representative and attends all meetings where possible to allow for continuity.
 - the Faculty's Associate Dean PGR
 - uea|su's Postgraduate Education Officer
 - relevant Academic and University Staff, both from the Research Office and wider services such as library or IT.
- ii. The forums are open to any PGR students belonging to that school, however roles within the forum (such as chair and secretary) can be decided how the group sees fit.
- iii. The Forum discusses all Faculty-level matters relating to Postgraduate Research (PGR) students, including student-led research initiatives, facilities for PGR students and School management within the specific Faculty.
- iv. Each forum meets at least once per semester (given that the PGR academic calendar has 3 semesters this should equate to at least 3 times a year)
- v. A small budget will be allocated by the Faculty's Research Executive to provide

² For full description and explanation of the role and structure of SSLCs please see section 5 of this document

³ For full description of the role of the SPO, please see section 9.2 of this document

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June refreshments for the GSSF.

vi. Minutes will be taken by UEA support staff, however the role of secretary is available to a student member of GSSF if any reps are willing; this should be decided at the first meeting. If no students volunteer then the role remains that of the UEA support staff member.

vii. The minutes will ~~also~~ be included on the agenda for the Graduate School Executive, and any other Faculty bodies as appropriate thus influencing Graduate School direction and policy. Minutes should also be shared with senior faculty managers for information.

viii. A student representative to chair the GSSF will be decided as the group see fit. This could be on a volunteer basis, or via an election – either in-house or online. If the group decides to do an online election, uea|su must be contacted to host this election.

xi. Staff responsibility for the selection of reps and the coordination of meetings lies with schools' Student Partnership Officers and the schools' PGR Directors of Research. Overall responsibility for all GSSFs being with Pro Vice-Chancellor Research and Enterprise.

x. The forum is open to all PGR students of that Faculty,

10.5 Appointment of Student Representatives on the GSSF

i. Each forum should have a minimum of 1 Student Representative from each School.

ii. The named representative/s could be decided via online elections. As explained in section 3 of the Representation Code of Practice, these elections will be organised centrally and online by the Students' Union. Any PGR student elected as part of this process will be the named representative for their GSSF. Elections for postgraduate representatives will take place in September/early October where students will be encouraged to nominate themselves, and then their peers vote for them.

iii. Uea|su will, through the Postgraduate Education Officer, help to promote the vacant positions available on each Faculty's GSSF and will offer a PGR Rep conference opportunity for all those involved.

iv. Once selected, the Representative should serve for a complete academic year (October-August), after which they will either stand down or volunteer for the next academic year.

v. It is the role of the PGR Director and Student Partnerships Officer to promote the Forum with the choice of representatives being decided by the student body.

vi. Student Representatives can use their experience on the GSSF as part of their Training Pathway.

10.6 Duties and Responsibilities of Student Representatives

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

- i. To liaise and communicate as appropriate with their respective PGR communities on issues that may be raised between meetings (e.g. by attending student-led seminars and communicating with peers via email and on social media sites).
- ii. To place items for discussion on the agenda prior to each GSSF by contacting the Chair and Secretary.
- iii. To suggest invited representatives of departments or entities internal or external to the University for consideration by the Chair.
- iv. To communicate any issues or decisions taken by the Forum to their PGR communities following each meeting (e.g. by sending an email update to the PGR mailing list for the School).
- v. To attend a PGR Representative Conference session organised by uea|su and to liaise with the Postgraduate Education Officer on issues

10.7 Selection of the Chair

- i. There should be opportunities for representatives to take turns to chair the Forum if multiple students are interested.
- ii. The Faculty's Associate Dean (Postgraduate Research) should coordinate the election of a chair during the first meeting. If multiple representatives volunteer, a different chair can be allocated to each meeting of the year to ensure all get equal opportunity.
- iii. If the designated Chair is unavailable for the meeting, (s)he has a duty to let other Forum committee members know as soon as possible. Other Forum committee members may then volunteer to become Chair. If no one volunteers, the designated Chair is at liberty to select the replacement Chair.

10.8 Duties and Responsibilities of the Chair

- i. The Chair will be responsible for drawing up an agenda for each meeting in liaison with the Student Representatives of each School, the Associate Dean of the Faculty, the PGR Service and Faculty Training Co-ordinator.
- ii. The Chair will be responsible for ensuring, along with the secretary, that the agenda for each meeting is circulated to all Forum members a minimum of 5 working days before each meeting.
- iii. The Chair will be responsible for running each meeting in a timely and efficient manner.
- iv. The Chair is responsible for creating clear action points around delegation to relevant staff members or student representatives in each meeting.
- v. In the event that the Forum committee opts to take a decision by vote and that vote

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June is tied, the Chair will exercise a casting vote. Where there is no consensus the chair is responsible for reflecting the minority opinion.

10.9 Selection of the secretary

- i. There should be opportunities for attendees to take turns to be secretary for the Forum if there are multiple students interested.
- ii. Once a chair for the GSSF has been elected, the chair should be responsible for coordination the election of secretary/ies. If multiple representatives volunteer, a different secretary can be allocated to each meeting of the year to ensure all get equal opportunity.
- iii. If the designated secretary is unavailable for the meeting, (s)he has a duty to let other Forum committee members know as soon as possible. Other Forum committee members may then volunteer to be secretary for that meeting. If no one volunteers, the Chair is at liberty to select the replacement secretary.
- iv. Whilst the secretary should be a PGR student, it may be possible for a support staff member to attend to take notes for the meeting if no secretary is appointed.

10.10 Duties and responsibilities of the secretary

- i. The secretary will liaise with the chair of the GSSF to ensure that the agenda is made available with 5 working days' notice prior to the meeting.
- ii. Where necessary, the secretary will circulate any relevant documentation to all Forum members prior to and at least 5 working days before each meeting.
- iii. The secretary is responsible for taking notes during the meeting of the GSSF
- iv. The secretary must take attendance at each meeting and also note apologies.

10.11: Duties and responsibilities of staff on the GSSF

- i. **Associate Dean (PGR) for the Faculty** will collate any feedback or requests for information from University staff to be discussed at the GSSF, and ensure this is fed back appropriately.
- ii. The Students' Union's Postgraduate **Education** Officer is responsible for bringing to the committee any Faculty-based issues that they, or other union colleagues, have been working on. **They should liaise with the Associate Dean (PGR) for the Faculty to ensure issues are raised at relevant fora, such as Graduate School**

Section 10 is subject to approval at Postgraduate Executive Meeting in June

Executive or Faculty Learning and Teaching Quality Committee, and report back to the committee via the chair or secretary as any changes or progress is made.

iii. Any other Union Officers are also welcome to attend where there are relevant agenda items or PGR issues relating to their remit.

iv. Other University services or staff (such as those from the Library or IT) should, as above, provide the GSSF with **any relevant** Faculty **or school** specific updates and relay any feedback or issues to relevant staff in their departments.